

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE
PUBLIC PARKING AUTHORITY OF PITTSBURGH
THURSDAY, JUNE 28, 2018

Having been duly advertised in accordance with the Sunshine Act No. 84 of 1986, a regular meeting of the Public Parking Authority of Pittsburgh was held at 10:07 a.m. on June 28, 2018, at 232 Boulevard of the Allies, Pittsburgh, PA 15222-1616. The following Board members were present at the start of the meeting: Jeff Cohen, Cathy Qureshi, Matt Barron and Erika Strassburger. Present from staff were: David Onorato, Chris Holt, Jo-Ann Williams, John Fournier, Tom Vennero, Debra Meyer, Matt Jendrzewski, James Smith, Bob Wilson, Tracy Sowinski, Patrick O'Such, Philip Savino, Scott McNaugher, Kathryn Van Why and Pat Konesky. Also present were Jason Wrona of Buchanan Ingersoll; Alicia Carberry of the City of Pittsburgh Mayor's Office; Bob Ward of Cannon Design; Doug Lang of WSP; John Knudsen and Jay Phoebe of Hannan Langholz Wilson Ellis and William Stewart of Strategic Communications.

Mr. Cohen advised that the Board held an Executive Session prior to today's meeting to discuss real estate matters and items of attorney-client privilege.

MINUTES

Mr. Cohen asked for approval of the minutes from the May 17, 2018 meeting.

Upon motion by Mr. Barron and seconded by Ms. Qureshi, the minutes were approved as follows: Ms. Qureshi, yes; Mr. Barron, yes; Ms. Strassburger, yes; Mr. Cohen, yes.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Mr. Cohen asked if there were any public comments.

There were none.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT

Mr. Onorato updated the Board on the retail spaces at the Mellon Square Garage stating that Port Authority gave written notice of its intention to vacate the space at the end of July.

Mr. Onorato advised that Phillips Lighting enhanced lighting for the Beacon/Bartlett Lot by donating control features that can reduce the amount of wattage used on an hourly basis. He advised that Phillips Lighting agreed to install these improvements in five additional PPAP lots at no cost to the Authority.

Mr. Onorato advised that the closure of Oliver Avenue began this past Friday to permit the second phase of the on-going project at the former Saks store location and said that this closure adversely affected entrance and exit operations at our Oliver Garage. He advised that we are working with Millcraft and its construction company on plans to correct the situation, noting that changes were made yesterday to open Oliver Avenue both ways during the evening rush hour. He advised that the change appears to have improved the situation and said that we will continue to monitor conditions there.

Mr. Onorato advised the Board that the International Parking Institute recently held its annual conference and said he, Mr. Cohan and staff members attended. He advised that the event is very well attended by both professionals and vendors and provides a great opportunity to see the latest technology assembled in one place.

Mr. Cohen advised that he spent most of his time on the floor of the Expo Hall and took the opportunity to meet with current vendors. He stated the Pittsburgh Parking Authority is highly regarded in the parking industry, noting that Mr. Onorato has been elected IPI Chairman with his term beginning in 2019.

Mr. Fournier stated that he gave a talk on using technology for Smart Cities initiatives and said his presentation was well attended. He stated that the conference itself provided a very worthwhile setting to meet a variety of vendors and industry professionals to discuss best practices and understand what is happening across the parking realm.

Mr. Onorato discussed the Finance Report, stating that through May our year-to-date numbers are slightly behind last year's but said we are ahead of this year's budgeted numbers because we did anticipate some specific declines due to construction and facility closures.

Mr. Onorato discussed the Facilities Report, noting that it shows the same trend as the Finance Reports where year-to-date comparisons with last year are off, but because we anticipated some 2018 developments and projected for them, we are right on target with the budget. He stated that we will continue to monitor results as the year progresses.

Mr. Onorato discussed the Enforcement Report, noting that the issuance of tickets increased this month, with 43 percent of those issued being for meter violations.

Mr. Onorato discussed the Meter Revenue Report, noting that revenues are up this year compared to last year, which he attributes to compliance in meter use. He stated that the pay-by-phone app continues to make it easier to pay for metered parking and advised that currently 43 percent of transactions employ the phone app.

Mr. Onorato discussed the Parking Court Report, stating that it mirrors the meter revenues and ticket reports by showing a slight decrease from last year but is on target with this year's budget.

Ms. Qureshi asked why the garage revenue is down.

Mr. Onorato replied that the biggest factor this year is the flood-related closure of the Mon Wharf. He advised that Mellon Square was directly impacted by the opening of a new garage

that resulted in the loss of leases. He advised that Second Avenue saw a loss in revenue due to the construction work on the 10th Street Bridge, which is directly over the lot, causing a loss of spaces at various times during the year. He also noted that there were days when the lot itself was inaccessible due to street closures that prevented patrons from entering. He stated that a significant reduction in Pirate game attendance directly affects Ft. Duquesne and Sixth Garage volume.

Ms. Qureshi stated that the decline appeared to involve several minor factors but not one large one that was affecting parking patterns. She asked if we were aware of what facility or lots those absent parkers were now using.

Mr. Onorato responded that he thought that these parkers may be using lots on the North Shore or the new garage there.

Mr. Cohen added that there are a lot more employees working from home and said that this may be a factor in the reduced number of parkers. He also stated that ride-share companies such as Uber and Lyft and the loss of many downtown restaurants and retail operations may be other factors in the equation.

Ms. Strassburger asked if the lot located on Second Avenue was owned solely by the Authority or if ownership was shared with other entities.

Mr. Onorato responded that the 800-space lot on Second Avenue, located directly under the 10th Street Bridge, is owned by the Parking Authority. He noted that we do have an agreement with the City for employee parking at the City rate as well as parking for the DOMI fleet of approximately 50 vehicles.

Mr. Cohen asked if there were any questions.

There were none.

RESOLUTION NO. 26 OF JUNE 2018, "A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TO SOLICIT BIDS FOR UPGRADES TO THE FIRE/PANIC ALARM SYSTEMS AT VARIOUS AUTHORITY GARAGE FACILITIES, was read by Mr. Cohen and considered by the Board.

Mr. Onorato advised that this is for authorization to seek bids for the replacement of the fire alarm system at eight of the Authority's facilities. He noted that O&S Engineering was retained to evaluate and develop the specification of work to be completed. He noted that the new facility at Ninth & Penn will have these fire alarm system upgrades incorporated into the RFP for its construction. He advised that work to install these upgrades will begin in 2018, will continue into 2019 and will be reflected in the capital budget.

Mr. Cohen asked if there were any questions.

There were none.

Upon motion by Mr. Barron and seconded by Ms. Qureshi, Resolution No. 26 of 2018 was approved as follows: Ms. Qureshi, yes; Mr. Barron, yes; Ms. Strassburger, yes; Mr. Cohen, yes.

RESOLUTION NO. 27 OF JUNE 2018, "A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TO UTILIZE THE CITY OF PITTSBURGH/COUNTY OF ALLEGHENY CONTRACTS AND SELECT REPUBLIC SERVICES OF PITTSBURGH, A SUBSIDIARY COMPANY OF BFI WASTE SERVICES OF PENNA., FOR WASTE REMOVAL SERVICES AT AUTHORITY-OPERATED GARAGES, was read by Mr. Cohen and considered by the Board.

Mr. Onorato advised the Board that the City and County contacted the Authority to see if we could share these services to reduce the cost of acquiring them. He advised that an RFP was issued to a detailed list of qualified vendors. He stated that there is no contract with our current vendor, Waste Management, but said we have averaged approximately \$64,000 per year for this service for the last two years. He stated that we will utilize the City/County RFP and select Republic Services of Pittsburgh as an approved vendor at a cost not to exceed \$50,000 per year.

Ms. Qureshi asked what the costs were based on, the amount of waste removed or the frequency of pick-up activity. She said she thought this amount to be low.

Mr. Holt replied that the amount is based on the number of dumpster pick-ups per facility, adding that we anticipate some facilities needing services just once a week while others may require attention five times per week.

Mr. Barron asked if the Authority has recycling areas within the garages and if this contract include that function. He also asked the length of the contract.

Mr. Onorato responded that we are currently working with the City to identify our recycling locations, which we do have in some but not all of our facilities. He said this contract, which is for three years with two one-year options, would not include the disposal of recyclable material.

Mr. Cohen asked if there were any questions.

There were none.

Upon motion by Mr. Barron and seconded by Ms. Qureshi, Resolution No. 27 of 2018 was approved as follows: Ms. Qureshi, yes; Mr. Barron, yes; Ms. Strassburger, yes; Mr. Cohen, yes.

RESOLUTION NO. 28 OF JUNE 2018, "A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TO APPROVE A CHANGE ORDER TO CARL WALKER CONSTRUCTION, INC. FOR THE REPAIR AND PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE FOR THE FORT DUQUESNE AND SIXTH GARAGE, was read by Mr. Cohen and considered by the Board.

Mr. Onorato advised that the original contract including the first two change orders totaled \$7,930,000, which included a \$1,000,000 placeholder amount for the façade.

Mr. Holt advised that the first two change orders were due to a change in scope of work and an unforeseen condition in the garage. He said the first followed discovery of an existing wall that was comprised by undermining on Ft. Duquesne Boulevard, requiring the Authority to take down a portion of the wall and add a concrete reinforcement. He said the second was for plumbing work when it was discovered that the interior of the existing system was blocked to a point that the entire system had to be replaced.

Ms. Qureshi asked if these change orders were presented to the Board and if so, when.

Mr. Holt responded that the change orders were presented to the Board in 2017 while the resolution initially awarding the contract to Carl Walker was presented in 2016.

Mr. Onorato advised Ms. Qureshi that he would forward copies of the three resolutions to the Board.

Mr. Onorato advised that the majority of the work is completed on the inside of the garage, and said excluding the ultimate amount the façade costs should come in around \$110,000 under budget. He noted that the purpose of the \$1 million placeholder in the budget was to secure a demolition permit from the City and permit the work in advance of the actual design of the facade. Mr. Onorato stated that when it was decided to have the initial façade reinstalled, it was discovered that the panels were too far deteriorated to be used effectively and said the new shoring that connects them to the concrete had to be replaced as well. He stated that the lighting will also be upgraded to LED quality, explaining that the \$1 million plus this \$600,000 change order will fully restore the façade and complete the project.

Ms. Qureshi asked for clarification on the \$1 million placeholder and if that amount was built into the original contract.

Mr. Onorato said that when the contract was issued the Authority did not have a design façade determined, but to obtain the permits from City Planning we needed to show a cost projection. He then confirmed that the placeholder amount was included in the original contract total.

Mr. Onorato stated that at the onset of the project we did not know the true \$1.611 million cost for the replacement of the façade. He noted that we were required to go back to City Planning with the final façade design for approval.

Mr. Cohen asked if the façade was new and if so, if the Authority was aware of the purchasing price and the labor cost of installation.

Mr. Onorato responded that the design will remain the same, but with the new panels. He said he anticipates that it may take approximately eight months from the time the order is placed to completed installation. He noted that the Authority originally was going to change the design but due to various concerns and the involvement of the original designer, it was decided that the original design be duplicated.

He stated that the original design had won an award and its architect complained that the Authority was replacing an award-winning façade and took his concerns to the City Administration and City Planning. He said both bodies then asked the Authority to reconsider putting the old façade back in place.

Mr. Cohen asked if the Authority made the right decision in doing so.

Mr. Onorato responded that the price was the same for reinstalling the old façade or going with a new design. He said he felt that some observers would have liked the original façade while others would have preferred a new façade.

Mr. Cohen asked if there were any questions.

There were none.

Upon motion by Mr. Barron and seconded by Ms. Qureshi, Resolution No. 28 of 2018 was approved as follows: Ms. Qureshi, yes; Mr. Barron, yes; Ms. Strassburger, yes; Mr. Cohen, yes.

RESOLUTION NO. 29 OF JUNE 2018, “A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TO ENTER INTO A LICENSE AGREEMENT WITH SCOobi INC. FOR THE PLACEMENT OF ELECTRIC SCOOTER CHARGING STATIONS IN AUTHORITY FACILITIES, was read by Mr. Cohen and considered by the Board.

Mr. Onorato circulated a photo of the scooter and stated that the City and DOMI are working with Scoobi, Inc, a local company, to place scooters within our garages using non-revenue-generating spaces. He advised, however, that in the Shadyside Garage two revenue generating spaces containing charging equipment would be used and charged to Scoobi, Inc. at the regular monthly lease rate. He advised that all costs, such as electrical wiring, line striping, etc. are the responsibility of that firm, adding that we will require them to install electrical meters so we can track usage costs and be reimbursed for them.

Ms. Qureshi asked if a license was required to drive one of these scooters.

Mr. Onorato replied that he believes that a license is required, noting that any issues of that nature will be explored and dealt with by Scoobi.

Mr. Barron asked about legal liability, if Scoobi, Inc. would cover all the legal liabilities or if the scooter presence would need to be added to the Authority's insurance coverages.

Mr. Onorato responded that we will address any liability issues in our agreement with Scoobi, Inc.

Mr. Barron stated that he was aware that there was some conversation between DOMI and Scoobi regarding scooter usage of on-street meter spaces and asked if we have a sense of how that might happen.

Mr. Onorato responded that the Authority has not had any discussions regarding these scooters using on-street spaces but said that any licensed vehicle operator using an on-street space is required to pay the meter.

Mr. Fournier added that he has had some discussion with DOMI and theoretically what they want to do is create a permit to allow the scooters to park on the street and in RPP spaces. He said that discussion is happening on the City side but noted that the Authority did receive a copy of draft legislation on that subject. He stated that we will need to coordinate with those parties if developments continue to move in that direction.

Ms. Strassburger asked if the Authority would take any position regarding these scooters being able to park between vehicles as they are advertised as being able to do.

Mr. Onorato responded that we don't identify marked spaces so if a scooter pulls between two vehicles in a legal parking space, its owner would be required to pay at the meter. He said the situation is the same one we apply for motorcycles.

Mr. Fournier added that these scooters are plated and noted that the registered owner would be liable for paying any ticket received at the meters. He added that, by law, being motorized and plated they are prohibited from parking on sidewalks.

Mr. Onorato added that there is on-going discussion as to whether or not they can legally be driven in the bike lanes.

Ms. Qureshi asked if Scooter use was a generational thing.

Mr. Barron responded that there are a number of scooter companies operating in cities throughout the country.

Mr. Fournier stated that Pittsburgh is fortunate that this scooter company is a Pittsburgh company, owned by a Carnegie Mellon graduate. He said other cities in America have for-profit, scooter-sharing companies and bike-sharing companies that just show up one day and drop thousands of bikes in their locales and have no regulations to govern them. He stated that this company is a for-profit business, but it is locally owned one that he has found to be willing to have these conversations on regulatory matters. He said the firm has been very eager to work with the Authority on such factors such as the Board approving this resolution, negotiating the license agreement, installation time, and completing their permit process with the City in advance of the first scooters being on the streets.

Mr. Cohen asked if there was any downside to having these scooters.

Mr. Onorato stated in his opinion the only possible downside would be mixing scooters with our vehicle traffic in the garages.

Mr. Wrona added that there is some additional liability risk and we are asking Scoobi for indemnification and proof of insurance so that if something were to happen resulting in the Authority being sued, we would look to them to cover the loss.

Mr. Cohen asked if there were any additional questions.

There were none.

Upon motion by Mr. Barron and seconded by Ms. Qureshi, Resolution No. 29 of 2018 was approved as follows: Ms. Qureshi, yes; Mr. Barron, yes; Ms. Strassburger, yes; Mr. Cohen, yes.

RESOLUTION NO. 30 OF JUNE 2018, "A RESOLUTION AMENDING THE AUTHORITY'S 2018 GENERAL FUND AND TRUSTEE REVENUE FUND BUDGETS, was read by Mr. Cohen and considered by the Board.

Mr. Onorato advised that when the 2018 budget was approved we anticipated that the Ninth and Penn Garage would be closed as of August 1, 2018 for the replacement of the facility. He advised that because the closing will not occur at that time and Ninth and Penn will remain open for the entire fiscal and calendar year, we feel it's appropriate to amend the budget to reflect the revenues and expenses that will be generated from August 1 forward. He said that as a result of the garage remaining open, projected revenues are being increased by \$714,000 and expenses by \$354,000, leading to a net ending balance of \$360,000 and an adjustment to our monthly transfer of Ninth and Penn expenses of \$119,000.

Mr. Cohen stated that this is good business practice and he is impressed. He stated he isn't sure that we have done this in the past but said that by doing so it would answer any budget variance questions that may arise in the future.

Ms. Qureshi said this is a major bump in business and understands why we would do this, but asked if there were any other major developments that would require budget changes in the future. She also asked if there was a policy on when to amend a budget.

Mr. Onorato stated that there is no formal policy that we apply and said he believes it's been years since our budget was amended mid-year. He said staff realized that if we didn't reflect this year's major changes, it would cause issues at the end of the year as well as affect the monthly Trustee transfer amount.

Mr. Cohen asked if there were any questions.

There were none.

Upon motion by Mr. Barron and seconded by Ms. Qureshi, Resolution No. 30 of 2018 was approved as follows: Ms. Qureshi, yes; Mr. Barron, yes; Ms. Strassburger, yes; Mr. Cohen, yes.

RESOLUTION NO. 31 OF JUNE 2018, "A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TO SET AN ESCALATING METER RATE

IN THE FRIENDSHIP/CEDARVILLE PARKING LOT, was read by Mr. Cohen and considered by the Board.

Mr. Onorato advised that based on the facility's utilization history, speaking with the City Council district representative and meeting with the neighborhood community groups, we are seeking to adjust the rates to maximize the lot's value to the community. He advised that this lot has 80 spaces and is completely full daily, which we believe results from West Penn Hospital employees using the lot for all-day parking. He advised that we are seeking to make the rates \$1.00 per hour for the first three hours, principally to accommodate the patrons of the businesses on Liberty Avenue, then increase the rate for four or more hours to \$3.00 per hour. He added that currently with the lot being enforced 10 hours a day, an all-day parker would pay \$10.00, but with the new escalating rate adjustment a vehicle owner would pay \$24.00 to park all day.

Mr. Barron said that this is a better and more efficient use of our resources and is a benefit to the neighborhood business district. He inquired how we make these utilization decisions.

Mr. Onorato responded that it is a rolling project and we often look at the frequency use of neighborhood lots and adjust their rates accordingly. He noted that in this instance the community reached out to us with its concerns. He added that when staff met with our vendors at the recent IPI Conference, utilization reports were found to be a high priority in setting rates. He noted that with the amount of data generated by the meters, together with information generated by our officers we have more resources than in the past to adopt rate structures tailored to individual community needs.

Ms. Qureshi said she is in support of this and said we may want to continue to adjust rates because it is possible that parking could drop off considerably. She asked if this authorization would cover any other changes that we may determine are needed.

Mr. Onorato responded that we would need to bring changes other than the one requested today back to the Board should we determine that they would be required.

Ms. Strassburger asked if we were making this change based on data from other parking lots showing that \$3.00 per hour is the best number to start with to curb all-day parking to the extent we hope to achieve.

Mr. Onorato stated that most of the Authority lots are \$1.00 per hour throughout the city so this \$3.00 per hour is not based on recorded data but on our expectation of a change in usage. He said if we find the increase to be too high we can adjust it.

Ms. Qureshi asked if the rate increase was intended to get rid of the all-day parkers.

Mr. Onorato advised that he believes that some of the all-day parkers may remain.

Mr. Barron asked if the Authority was aware of the all-day parking rate at the private garage located down the street from the Parking Authority lot.

Mr. Onorato replied that there are two garages, a private one and the facility operated by the hospital. He said that we do not know their rates.

Mr. Cohen stated that as a business owner if he tripled anything that most likely it would put him out of business due to a loss of customers. He stated that he believes that \$3.00 per hour is too much of an immediate increase and would not provide a true evaluation of the increase's effect. He asked if we could find out what the other two garages rates are.

Mr. Onorato replied that we certainly could find out the rates of the other garages and bring this resolution back to the Board, or we could make the change now at \$2.00 and evaluate and increase to \$3.00 if needed.

Mr. Fournier stated that he does not recall the garages' all-day rates but it is certainly less than \$24.00 per day and the reason that we chose \$3.00 per hour is to get people who are going to the hospital to park there and not use a lot built to service the business district. He added that off-street and on-street parking that is located close to a business district is intended to attract short-term parkers who become patrons of the business district. He said those spaces are intended to turn over frequently to generate more pedestrians moving through a business district. He stated that we are going to lose all-day parkers out of this lot, but he believes that we are going to gain short-term users who are going to church, picking up and dropping off kids at the day school, or who are going into the business district. He said he believes that if we move this to \$1.00 per hour with a \$2.00 per hour escalated rate we are going to increase business district activity. Mr. Fournier said this is not just about revenue, it's about public policy and the benefits of regulating public parking for the benefit of a business district. He stated that the percentage of all-day parkers is probably over 50 percent, and most of those users are hospital employees.

Ms. Strassburger stated that we cannot be sure how many people are being turned away from parking in this lot because it's full so she would be in favor of increasing the rates to \$3.00 per hour because we want to create more turnover. She asked if we have a goal for utilization.

Mr. Onorato responded that we would like to see five percent of the spaces available at all times during the business day. He noted that we can and will monitor utilization.

Ms. Qureshi asked if we do individual finance reports on each lot.

Mr. Onorato replied that we do have those data, reporting that the 2017 annual gross revenues in this lot was \$177,000, in 2016 it was \$173,000 and in 2015 it was \$146,000.

Mr. Barron asked if the Authority could follow up with a report in a few months to familiarize the Board with the outcome of this rate change.

Ms. Cohen stated that if the whole reason is to support the business district he understands what is trying to be accomplished and is in support of the resolution as is. He asked how soon this could be done and asked the plan to notify customers.

Mr. Onorato stated that we will convey the change through the meter and the customers will see the change in the meter the first day that we implement this change.

Mr. Cohen asked if there were any questions.

There were none.

Upon motion by Mr. Barron and seconded by Ms. Qureshi, Resolution No. 31 of 2018 was approved as follows: Ms. Qureshi, yes; Mr. Barron, yes; Ms. Strassburger, yes; Mr. Cohen, yes.

RESOLUTION NO. 32 OF JUNE 2018, "A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TO ENTER INTO AND EFFECTUATE A PURCHASE AND SALES AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE AUTHORITY AND JCS CAPITAL. LLC., was read by Mr. Cohen and considered by the Board.

Mr. Onorato advised that JCS Capital purchased the property on 316 Fourth Avenue and is planning to convert it into residential units. He stated that the firm wanted to create 150 units but is only permitted to create 75. He advised that there is a City ordinance that permits the purchase of unit rights from another surrounding property owner within the same area. Mr. Onorato reported that Grant Street Transportation Center is in the JCS area of interest and was asked if the Authority would be willing to sell the rights to 75 of the units we would be permitted to build on the garage's roof for a payment of \$125,000. He noted that the Authority has no plans for rooftop construction and said, even with our permission JCS, will still be required to get City Planning and City Council's approval.

Mr. Onorato introduced Mr. Knudsen of Hanna Langholz Wilson Ellis who represents JCS Capital on this project. He advised that they intend to take an old 130,000-foot building that has been vacant for years and is too small for its current use as office space and renovate it for residential use. He advised that the firm is very thankful for the Authority's cooperation as it does need our commitment of units to move forward with development.

Mr. Wrona stated that given the size of the Grant Street Transportation Center Garage property, which is about four acres, there are rights to approximately 1,500 dwelling units associated with the property. He said if we were to sell off 75 units, there would still be 1,425 dwelling units remaining.

Mr. Barron asked if the Authority has sold units in this manner before.

Mr. Wrona replied that we have never done this previously and added that he and his colleagues are aware of this being done only one time in the City of Pittsburgh history. He said that event involved an intercompany transfer so there is not really a market record for establishing pricing. He stated that the \$125,000 was a negotiated price and that real estate advisors and brokers deemed that fair, but said there is nothing we would be able to point to as a comp transaction.

Mr. Onorato added that this firm has the opportunity to knock on another organization's door to negotiate this deal. He stated that we felt this was an opportunity to add additional revenue.

Ms. Qureshi asked if this project must be presented before the City zoning board.

Mr. Onorato advised that they would be required to present to the City zoning board and that they would need our purchase agreement and commitment.

Mr. Barron asked if the Authority could clearly document the process.

Mr. Cohen stated that this sale price appears to be about \$1,600 per space and wanted to know if this calculation was accurate and if so how we arrived at that amount.

Mr. Onorato stated that \$125,000 was not the original offer and said that the Authority negotiated this amount knowing that JCS could go to any other building owner in that area. He said that, after completing our due diligence, we believe that this is a good deal.

Mr. Cohen asked if there were any questions.

There were none.

Upon motion by Mr. Barron and seconded by Ms. Qureshi, Resolution No. 32 of 2018 was approved as follows: Ms. Qureshi, yes; Mr. Barron, yes; Ms. Strassburger, yes; Mr. Cohen, yes.

RESOLUTION NO. 33 OF JUNE 2018, "A RESOLUTION ACKNOWLEDGING MIS MANAGER/INSURANCE SPECIALIST MR. THOMAS VENNERO FOR HIS 50 YEARS OF PROFESSIONAL AND EXEMPLARY SERVICE IN HIS EMPLOYMENT WITH THE PITTSBURGH PARKING AUTHORITY, was read by Mr. Cohen and considered by the Board.

Mr. Onorato presented a resolution recognizing and honoring Thomas Vennero for his 50 years of service with the Parking Authority. He stated that his congratulatory remarks contained a biblical reference because he often defers to Mr. Vennero in his knowledge of Parking Authority history. Mr. Onorato presented Mr. Vennero a gift in appreciation and thanked him for all that he has done over the years.

Mr. Cohen asked if there were any questions.

There were none.

Upon motion by Mr. Barron and seconded by Ms. Qureshi, Resolution No. 33 of 2018 was approved as follows: Ms. Qureshi, yes; Mr. Barron, yes; Ms. Strassburger, yes; Mr. Cohen, yes.

OLD/NEW BUSINESS

Mr. Cohen asked if there was any new or old business for discussion.

There were none.

The next Board meeting is scheduled for Thursday July 19, 2018 at 10:00 a.m.

The meeting was adjourned at 11:17 am with all Board Members in approval.

APPROVED TO CONTENT



Chairman

**ACCEPTED FOR FILING IN THE AUTHORITY'S BOOK OF
MINUTES**



Approval