

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE
PUBLIC PARKING AUTHORITY OF PITTSBURGH
THURSDAY JUNE 16, 2022**

Having been duly advertised in accordance with the Sunshine Act No. 84 of 1986, a virtual meeting of the Public Parking Authority of Pittsburgh was held at 10:02 a.m. on June 16, 2022. The following Board members were present at the start of the meeting: Jeff Cohen, Matt Barron, Liz Fishback and Bobby Wilson. Present from staff were David Onorato, Christopher Speers, Chris Holt, Jo-Ann Williams, Gwen Bolden, Mark DiNatale, David Perry, Tracy Sowinski and Scott McNaugher. Also present were Jason Wrona of Buchanan, Ingersoll & Rooney; Bill Stewart of Strategic Communications; Kevin Haines and Dana Murphy of AFSCME; Morgan Overton and Alison Keating.

MINUTES

Mr. Cohen asked for approval of the minutes from the May 19, 2022 meeting.

Upon motion by Mr. Barron and seconded by Mr. Wilson, the minutes were approved as follows: Mr. Barron, yes; Mr. Wilson, yes; Ms. Fishback, yes; Mr. Cohen, yes.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Mr. Cohen asked if there were any public comments.

Mr. Haines, staff representative of and speaking on behalf of the members of AFSCME Local 84, Local 2719 and Ms. Murphy addressed the Board. Mr. Haines described Ms. Murphy as a 20-year enforcement officer who is one of the hardest-working employees he knows. Mr. Haines stated that the AFSCME enforcement officers come to work every day because of their commitment to help keep the city functioning. He stated that while the pandemic impacted our business downtown, he stated that the enforcement officers came back to work in very uncertain conditions to help clear the streets. He stated that even as the city downtown area was trying to re-open the streets, at least minimally, for things like take-out-services, the streets were cluttered with vehicles, again describing the officers as committed employees. Mr. Haines stated that he respectfully comes to the Board today to ask that it reconsider the Authority's residency policy to put it in line with other Authorities within Allegheny County. He advised that on May 27, 2022, the Pittsburgh Water and Sewer Authority considered a resolution permitting its employees to live anywhere in Allegheny County. He stated that the Parking Authority Board also has the capacity to change the residency policy, adding that while he is aware that the PWSA Board resolution authorizing the change has not yet been approved, stated that he will forward documentation of the change to those on the Parking Authority Board once it has been executed. He stated that in a show of unity 100 percent of the unions local membership has signed a petition and respectfully requesting a change in the Parking Authority's policy on residency. He added that there is also a petition signed by members of the Teamsters Union in support of this action. He stated that this change would be a win-win for the Union and the Authority noting

that it could ease current staffing shortages by increasing the hiring pool of eligible applicants to include the entire Allegheny County population. He stated that despite a mass exodus of 22 police officers leaving their City residences, he stated he does not foresee a mass exodus of Enforcement Officers leaving the City as most veteran employees have bought homes in the city many years ago when the cost to purchase them was much cheaper and it's likely that they have no intention of moving. He stated that this change would benefit the new hires who may not afford the current high prices of purchasing a house. He stated that fewer and fewer qualified candidates can afford to live in the city and said that removing this residency requirement affords the Board an opportunity to improve the quality of our workforce. Mr. Haines thanked the Board for its time and consideration and asked if there were any questions.

Ms. Fishback thanked Mr. Haines and stated that she did not have any questions.

Mr. Onorato stated that if there are no questions from its members, the Board and will take the Unions' comments under advisement and will get back to the representative at a later time after further discussion.

Mr. Cohen asked if there were any additional public comments or questions.

There were none.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT

Mr. Onorato updated the Board on the PACT sale to Clearway Community Energy and advised that the Authority had a meeting with Duquesne Light and Huckenstein, Clearway Community Energy's confirmed contractor. He advised that the Authority was given three options and the and would soon be receiving the prices for each. He said the affected facilities are Mellon Square, Ft. Duquesne and Sixth and Smithfield/Liberty, adding that Mellon Square would be offline with steam heat this year and Ft. Duquesne and Sixth and Smithfield/Liberty by the end of 2023. He noted that recent talks suggested that all three conversions may be pushed into to 2023. He advised that talking with Duquesne Light offered a solution involving pumping of heat to complement the output of current air conditioning units in the commercial space at Mellon Square. Mr. Onorato stated that Huckenstein had two options available, one installing a coil heat system in the existing duct work to tap it into its system and the other to install a new steam head system installed by Huckenstein, which would require capital outlay but would address the air conditioning in the commercial spaces. He advised that once we receive the prices for each option, we will review these and bring our recommendation back to the Board. He noted that we will be meeting with all entities most likely sometime next week.

Mr. Barron apologized that he could not attend the meeting due to a conflict in scheduling but thanked the Authority for the work done to date.

Mr. Onorato advised that once the meeting is scheduled Mr. Barron would receive an invitation

Mr. Onorato advised the Board that in an attempt to get parkers back into our garages we are

offering businesses discounts on the daily parking rates if spaces are purchased them in volume. He stated this may ease some of the concerns with employees and customers coming back in to the area. He advised that we recently implemented an incentive at the Grant Street Transportation Center where a \$3.00 discount was applied to the \$13.00 all-day rate.

Ms. Fishback stated that in her capacity of being on the Chamber of Commerce Board, she would be willing to assist in making connections to businesses to advise them of this discount program.

Mr. Onorato thanked Ms. Fishback for her offer.

Mr. Onorato advised the Board that the Enforcement schedule had been adjusted over the last several days to accommodate the Arts Festival and the Kenny Chesney concert. He advised that we were pleased with the results of the change.

Mr. Onorato discussed the Finance Report, stating that we did have a good month but that revenues were down which is typical of our volume during the summer months. He advised that the majority of May's \$3.3 million in revenue generated are garage receipts and he noted they compare May 2021's \$2.6 million and in 2019's \$5.2 million. He advised that year-to-date revenues are \$16.3 million, just below our budgeted \$16.5 million and better than last year's \$11.4 million but still roughly \$8 million off of 2019's base year total. He advised that the next Finance Report basically says the same. He noted this report was created for the Board in 2020 to show if the Authority was making steady progress in rebounding from the pandemic most damaging period. He confirmed we have steadily increased month to month since then, noting that the slight decrease in the last two months can be attributed to the usually slow summer volume and people continuing to take advantage of work-from-home policies.

Mr. Onorato discussed the Facility Report, which shows the same trend of revenues of \$2.4 million for May 2022 compared to \$1.4 last year, with all garages seeing a year-to-year increase. He discussed the year-to-date report which shows the same revenue numbers as the Finance Report which show garage revenue totals of \$9.5 million in May 2022 compared to \$6.4 million last year. He stated that this is a significant jump and we are expecting the revenues continue to increase the rest of the year.

Mr. Onorato discussed the Enforcement Report, stating that 19,057 tickets were issued for the month of May, an increase from 14,000 last year. He advised that with the start of street-sweeping enforcement the breakdown of tickets issued has changed. He advised that there were 6,600 street-sweeping tickets issued versus 6,200 for meter violations and stated that those two categories accounted for roughly 65 percent of the total tickets issued. He advised that street cleaning will be in effect until November 1st. He reminded the Board that this is the first month that live tickets were issued and said that issuing warning tickets for the first month of this year's program was the right position to take to help alleviate customers concerns.

Mr. Cohen asked if there were any reports available that show if the street-sweeping tickets were issued to the residents of the street or to other vehicles whose drivers don't live on the street.

Mr. Onorato responded that we do not track the tickets in this manner but said he would believe that most of the tickets issued are issued to residents of the particular street being swept. He advised that during street sweeping every vehicle is passed by the sweeper is in violation but not every vehicle passed during meter enforcement is in violation.

Mr. Cohen said he was concerned that a lot of residents receive these tickets and asked the amount of the fine.

Mr. Onorato responded that the fine for street-sweeping violation is \$30.00.

Ms. Fishback stated that her street of residence has an email notice to remind residents to move their vehicles on their particular street-sweeping day. She asked if we are hearing anything from members of the public reporting that they forgot it was their street-sweeping day.

Mr. Onorato responded that we are not hearing any complaints here at the main office but we could follow up with Parking Court to see if they are fielding complaints.

Ms. Bolden added that some of the complaints are coming as a result of construction in an area and therefore limiting locations or areas for people to park their vehicles legally on street-sweeping days. She stated that otherwise people are well aware of their street-sweeping days, adhere to the signage and move their vehicles except on those occasions when they do forget.

Mr. Cohen stated that the fine should be lower, possibly just \$10.00.

Mr. Onorato responded that years ago, before City Council adjusted the rates and prior to Mr. Wilson becoming a city Councilman, he believed that the fine for street-sweeping violations was \$10.00. He stated that our recommendation at that time was to keep the fine at \$10.00, but City Council decided to raise it to \$30.00.

Mr. Cohen asked Mr. Wilson if Council could look at adjusting the fine amount.

Mr. Wilson responded that people are more aggravated now because they make the effort to move their vehicle and then the street-sweeper doesn't come for various reasons. He stated that in general street sweeping has become an issue and he asked if street-sweeping is done only in the Residential Permit Parking areas.

Mr. Onorato responded that the Department of Public Works sets the street-sweeping routes and said we supply the enforcement vehicles and officers and enforce only on the streets that the sweeper actually cleans. He stated that there is no enforcement if a sweeper is broken down and not working.

Mr. Wilson stated that he believes the whole program needs to be re-evaluated and revised. He stated that he has been looking into this for the past year to see where improvements can be made to the overall program.

Mr. Onorato discussed the Parking Court Report, which he noted increased slightly from \$680,000 last year to just over \$700,000 this year while the year-to-date total is \$3 million compared to \$2.8 million at the same time last year.

RESOLUTION NO. 16 OF JUNE 2022, “A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TO AWARD A CONTACT TO GRANICUS LLC FOR WEBSITE DESIGN AND MANAGEMENT, was read by Mr. Cohen and considered by the Board.

Mr. Onorato advised the Board that we were notified by the City that it would no longer be hosting our website and we would need to create one and manage it ourselves, adding that the City stated they would be willing to continue hosting until ours was up and functioning. He advised that we issued an RFP for the website design and received three bids, with one being submitted by Granicus LLC in the amount of \$99,600 for the design, build and implementation and management for the first year. He advised that we are recommending a three-year contract with two one-year options, noting that if we were to exercise the contract for five years the total amount of the contract would be \$333,336, approximately \$59,000 a year for maintenance and programming for years two through five.

Ms. Fishback asked if the amount bid was in line with the standard rate for website designs.

Mr. Onorato stated that the highest bid received was \$431,000 and the lowest was \$102,000. He noted that this contract was considered to be for the purchase of professional services where the vendors are scored in different categories.

Mr. McNaugher added that all the bids were within market standard

Mr. Barron stated that the resolution lists the \$99,600 as a start-up cost so he asked if that price could increase or change over the life of the contract.

Mr. Onorato responded that the \$99,600 is for the design and implementation of the website and that cost should not change. He stated that after that it is approximately \$59,000 per year for the maintenance and the upkeep of the website going forward for years two through five.

Mr. Barron asked if Mr. McNaugher would be co-managing this project as he is aware that there could be major issues if the website is customized and requires the vendor company to do any changes. He said he is suggesting that we keep an eye on the possible escalation and make sure that our staff has the ability to update the site ourselves if and when they are needed.

Mr. McNaugher replied that an Authority role was part of the RFP itself, that our staff had the ability to make changes and add things from our side.

Mr. Onorato added that the company we are recommending awarding the contract to also designed the website for the Miami Parking Authority so if the any of the Board would like to

see an example of their work, that would be the place. He stated that as part of our due diligence was to call the Miami Parking Authority as a reference, he said the reply was that they were pleased with the vendor's product.

Mr. Cohen asked what work the company would perform for the \$99,000 cost.

Mr. Onorato responded that it will be a very interactive website that will add the ability to take payments for garage and lot leases as well as fine payments through Parking Court.

Ms. Fishback stated that if Alex at the Miami Parking Authority was happy, then she would be happy. She also stated that she uses the current website monthly and said the redesign would increase the end-user experience while also bringing the website up-to-date.

Mr. Wilson asked how this would affect the recent changes in the RPP program and if they are all on the website currently.

Mr. Onorato responded that this will be incorporated into the new website design and said the changes to the RPP portal are all currently on-line.

Mr. Cohen stated that he understands that we need an active website but said he is concerned that we are going to add \$60,000 worth of expenses yearly. He stated that he hoped we would find out what services would be included in the \$60,000.

Mr. Onorato responded that we really didn't have a choice when we were told that the City would no longer host a website that is critical to our operation. He added that our staff could not design this ourselves and said we need the technical expertise to design and implement it correctly. He also stated that there have been discussions with the vendor company to make sure that it fully understood the scope of work outlined in the RFP.

Mr. Cohen asked why there was an initial \$100,000 cost and the proposed timeline for the project.

Mr. Onorato advised that this cost is for the time that the company would spend with Authority staff to design the website, build it and then install it to our specification. He noted that the timeline would be dependent on various factors, including as to when the designers could coordinate meetings with internal staff. He said according to the company's proposal, the new website should be up and running within five to six months.

Mr. McNaugher reported that the vendor would be hosting the service on its servers, a function included in the initial cost.

Mr. Cohen asked why the website wouldn't be in the cloud, how many users it would have, and who would have access to updating it.

Mr. Onorato responded that we have over 10,000 RPP applications alone that are placed through the website and said our intent is to equip the IT department staff with the ability to edit and make changes to the website.

Mr. McNaugher added that the service is in the cloud, leveraging Microsoft for that purpose.

Mr. Barron asked if Granicus would be providing security in this package, noting that the storage of credit card data could be costly but a necessary factor. He added that the cost does not seem unreasonable.

Mr. McNaugher confirmed that security will also be provided as well and stated that, while it may seem costly, the recommended vendor's bid is in line with the other proposals received.

Mr. Cohen repeated that he believes that this is still a lot of money for a website and said he would like to see a breakdown of the costs.

Mr. DiNatale asked if the figures breakdown and the RFP could be sent to the Board after this meeting so it has ample time to review them. He described the costs as being very detailed.

Mr. Wilson asked Mr. Cohen if he wanted to take a vote today or possibly table the resolution.

Mr. Cohen stated that we can proceed with voting on the resolution

Mr. Cohen asked if there were any questions or comments.

There were none.

Upon motion by Mr. Wilson and seconded by Ms. Fishback, Resolution No. 16 of 2022 was approved as follows: Mr. Barron, yes; Mr. Wilson, yes; Ms. Fishback, yes; Mr. Cohen, no.

RESOLUTION NO. 17 OF JUNE 2022, "A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TO ENTER INTO A CONTRACT WITH FLOWBIRD (FORMALLY CALE) TO UPGRADE THE DOORS AND INTERNAL MECHANISM ON THE ADDITIONAL 50 PERCENT OF MULTI-SPACE METERS, was read by Mr. Cohen and considered by the Board.

Mr. Onorato advised that this is phase two of our meter door upgrade where we are replacing the original doors in 460 meters to include color screen operations. He advised that phase one is completed and is in punch-list stage but noted that all 518 have been installed in the field. He advised that phase two would complete the upgrade of all the meters in the system. He advised that all of the meters would be coin-and-credit card capable and will be installed in the neighborhoods with a \$3.00 per hour rate or less.

Mr. Barron asked if the color screens would improve visibility, noting that the monochrome screens could be hard to see in the sun.

Mr. Onorato responded that the monochrome screens were installed 10 to 12 years ago and over time became more difficult to see. He stated that the new technology features color screens where the keypad is included on the screen and all incorporated like a mini laptop to have the capability to register phone numbers to receive text receipts. He noted that the meters to date have been well received by the public and have not generated many public concerns or issues.

Mr. Wilson asked if the entire front door would be replaced or just the screen.

Mr. Onorato responded that the new door will include the screen, the coin apparatus and the back of the keypad or basically the entire operating portion of the kiosk. He advised that the shell casing, the actual kiosk mainframe, will remain.

Mr. Wilson asked if there was a cash option.

Mr. Onorato responded that in phase one a total of 518 doors were replaced and 300 of those, located in downtown, in Oakland and North Shore are credit card only units. He advised that in areas that have a rate of \$3.00 or higher we eliminated the coin option and operate credit-card machines only. He noted that this practice has been in place for a few years, noting also that none of the machines accept dollar bills.

Mr. Wilson asked what ever became of the possibility of connecting with Port Authority on the ability of their connect-card being used in the kiosks.

Mr. Onorato advised that we did reach out a few times to that Authority but said it did not show much interest in pursuing the matter so we proceeded with the upgrades under a deadline involving Verizon's plan to discontinue its support of them. He advised that all of the modems have been updated. and said that no operational changes have been made with this upgrade.

Mr. Barron asked if we are purchasing extra inventory items to keep on hand to use as replacements should there be any failures or damage done to kiosks.

Mr. Onorato replied that as part of each phase for every one hundred meters ordered, we received one free meter and those remain as our reserve supply to be used as needed. He also advised that we also try to eliminate meters through attrition, and 60 percent of meter transactions being made by phone app we may be able to eliminate meters that are being utilized very infrequently.

Mr. Cohen asked if the machines had warranty protection.

Mr. Onorato responded that each unit has a one-year warranty.

Mr. Cohen asked if there were any questions or comments.

There were none.

Upon motion by Mr. Barron and seconded by Mr. Wilson, Resolution No. 17 of 2022 was approved as follows: Mr. Barron, yes; Mr. Wilson, yes; Ms. Fishback, yes; Mr. Cohen, yes.

RESOLUTION NO. 18 OF JUNE 2022, “A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TO AWARD A CONTRACT TO DESMAN DESIGN MANAGEMENT FOR PARKING ACCESS AND REVENUE CONTROL SYSTEM (PARCS) CONSULTANT FOR FIVE (5) AUTHORITY-OWNED GARAGES, was read by Mr. Cohen and considered by the Board.

Mr. Onorato advised that we currently have five garages where the revenue control equipment is over 15 years old and has been experiencing increasing operational issues. He advised that we are asking that Desman be awarded a contract to consult to help develop the RFP and scope of work and to also oversee the install of the redesigned equipment. He advised that its bid was \$39,990 with the funds to be taken from the general fund. He also added that this upgrade was budgeted in our annual budget and received three bids, with Desman being the lowest bidder and Walker Consultant the highest at \$146,000. He advised that we did follow up with Desman after all bids were submitted to make sure it understood the scope of work and could complete for the bid amount submitted. He said they also affirmed their understanding and completion commitment.

Mr. Barron asked what the contract term was.

Mr. Onorato stated the terms are to write the RFP and to assist and inspect the installation phase of the project once the equipment is received.

Mr. Cohen asked if the Authority has any understanding on what the project entails or are we relying on Desman fully.

Mr. Onorato responded that we do know what is needed but said we do want to hear from them to confirm projections and evaluate the vendor’s remedial measure to see what they have to offer. He advised that Desman is going to examine our current system, gauge what we would be able to install, advise on some of the competing technologies now available and write the technical part of the RFP along with our input and they will help with the inspection of the installation of the equipment. He noted that we have an idea of what needs to be done but said are also looking ahead to see if anything can be added to improve the parking experience perhaps by including a reservation system. He also mentioned adding phone-payment capabilities requiring QR codes and cameras in our entrances. He stated that there are multiple alternatives that could be considered and said we would like to explore them to make sure the best possible technology is installed. He advised that four of the garages currently have PSX equipment and one has HUB equipment and stated that the other garages have equipment that is more up-to-date than these facilities. He advised that we are only upgrading half of the garages equipment at this

time and noted that with this and other capital improvement projects, we prefer to rotate the work through the garages so that all of our equipment is not out of service at the same times.

Mr. Cohen asked if we had an estimate of the equipment cost.

Mr. Onorato replied that we estimated approximately \$50,00 per facility lane.

Mr. Speers added that our Capital Budget priced the replacement of the revenue control equipment of these five garages at \$1.9 million. He stated this was budgeted in our 2022 capital budget, but that as we start to budget for 2023, he believes that we have the opportunity to replace additional revenue control equipment located at some of our other facilities sooner rather than later as he wants to have all of the technology in place so that we can make across-the-board changes, such as reservation system implementation at the time of our choosing.

Mr. Cohen stated that he believes that we should just go ahead with the entire garage upgrades, negotiate with the vendor, and get all of the equipment upgraded at once.

Mr. Onorato stated that this resolution is not a contract for the garages, but that is something we see if Desman would be willing to work with us on that basis should we implement at once.

Mr. Speer added that he believes that the sooner the equipment can be upgraded in the additional garages, the better, because the technology is changing so fast and we need to think of what we can do regarding customer payment options such as pay-by-cell technology. He stated that we should wait no longer than necessary to keep the garages all uniform and said he believes that this needs to be accomplished within the next year or two.

Mr. Cohen asked why we are not proceeding with upgrading all of the garages at this time.

Mr. Speers responded that cost is the main reason. He stated that the four garages that have PSX revenue equipment installed it around 2004 and said the hardware is so outdated they that was the reason new computer boards can't be built for it. He said that was the reason for wanting to get these four garages done quickly. He noted that we brought Ft. Duquesne and Sixth Street Garage into this round of improvements simply because that facility deals with most of our special events, restaurant and theater parking. He stated that newer technology like on-line reservations, pre-paid web validations and other opportunities is the reason we wanted to get that garage running these programs as a beta testing site.

Mr. Barron stated he agrees with Mr. Speers and stated his only potential concern is to avoid getting hit financially with a large cost at once by staggering the implementation schedule.

Mr. Speers confirmed that viewpoint and stated that a one-year time span is going to be difficult enough because we are dealing with a time-consuming upgrade that requires one system to basically has to run parallel to the other. He said to do more than a few at a time is a very technical challenge if it is even feasible.

Mr. Cohen again stated that he believed it would be better to do all of them at one time.

Mr. Onorato responded that he understands Mr. Cohen's reasoning and stated that because there are additional and more pressing capital repair projects slated to be completed first, such as the repairs mentioned in the next resolution, replacing the revenue control equipment at every garage at once would put a strain on our annual budget. He stated that we can have the discussion with Desman as to whether they can do the RFP now to include all 10 garages at no additional cost.

Mr. Cohen asked if there were any questions or comments.

There were none.

Upon motion by Mr. Wilson and seconded by Mr. Barron, Resolution No. 18 of 2022 was approved as follows: Mr. Barron, yes; Mr. Wilson, yes; Ms. Fishback, yes; Mr. Cohen, yes.

RESOLUTION NO. 19 OF JUNE 2022, "A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TO AWARD A CONTRACT TO CARL WALKER CONSTRUCTION GROUP, INC. FOR THE REPAIRS AND PREVENTATIVE MAINTENANCE AT THE FORBES/SEMPLE GARAGE, was read by Mr. Cohen and considered by the Board.

Mr. Onorato advised the Board that the Authority's annual inspection determined that the Forbes/Semple Garage was in fair to poor condition and in need of structural repairs. He advised that during the RFP process, that site visits were conducted to ensure the stability of the structure. He said the RFP has been issued and bids were received with Carl Walker Construction being the low bidder at \$5,113,319.51 for slab replacement, full depth repairs, column-to-beam repairs, ceiling repairs, painting of the interior and stairwell repairs. Mr. Onorato said we anticipate that will extend the useful life of the garage by 20 years and advised that the cost of the work will extend over a two-year budget period. He advised that we will be keeping the garage open during this repair process but will be closing various levels sequentially as will be required during construction.

Mr. Barron noted that there is a huge cost difference between the lowest bid and the other two bids and he questioned if we are confident that Carl Walker Construction can do all the work for the fine amount that was bid.

Mr. Onorato advised that we did reach out to Carl Walker Construction and it confirmed an understanding of the job requirements and could complete the work at the bid price.

Mr. Cohen asked if there were any questions or comments.

There were none.

Upon motion by Mr. Barron and seconded by Mr. Wilson, Resolution No. 19 of 2022 was approved as follows: Mr. Barron, yes; Mr. Wilson, yes; Ms. Fishback, yes; Mr. Cohen, yes.

RESOLUTION NO. 20 OF JUNE 2022, "A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TO APPROVE A CHANGE ORDER TO ASCC, INCORPORATED FOR THE FORBES/SHADY LOT IN SQUIRREL HILL, was read by Mr. Cohen and considered by the Board.

Mr. Onorato advised that this change order was needed because we needed to order different cameras from as the model originally ordered but are unavailable due to supply-chain issues.

Mr. Cohen asked if there were any questions or comments.

There were none.

Upon motion by Mr. Barron and seconded by Mr. Wilson, Resolution No. 20 of 2022 was approved as follows: Mr. Barron, yes; Mr. Wilson, yes; Ms. Fishback, yes; Mr. Cohen, yes.

NEW BUSINESS

Mr. Cohen asked if there were any additional new or old business matters to discuss.

There were none.


The meeting was adjourned at 11:28 a.m. with all Board members in approval.

APPROVED TO CONTENT

DocuSigned by:

Chairman

ACCEPTED FOR FILING IN THE AUTHORITY'S BOOK OF MINUTES

DocuSigned by:

Approval